| Outcome | Activity | Actions | Outputs in Strategy period (2015-2018) | Performance Measures | Progress |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | Monitoring of a range of conditions | Regular technical and non-technical reports for coastal erosion and tidal flood risk . . | | Coastal monitoring continues as per the regional monitoring programme. Coastal monitoring data and reports are made available on www.coastalmonitoring org inspections are underway on the open watercourses the council maintains. There have been a number of CCTV inspections of piped systems. Ongoing work with Nesresyside partners to roll out dip well monitoring across Merseyside but is proving difficult to get the buy in from other partners. Sefton's dipwells have been in situ since 2015. |
| | | Recording flood and coastal erosion events. | Establish / extend monitoring network for groundwater based on highest risk areas to provide evidence base | | A number of policies have been approved by the Council covering culverting watercourse, diverting watercourses, flood investigations and enforcement. |
| | Identify and review flood and coastal eroston risk | Modelling of systems | Develop Investigations policy and reporting procedure (in 2015) | Time taken and quality of inspections will be the performance measures with a summary of risk provided as context | Undertaken detailed modelling of Formby and Maghull to understand how the system works. We have undertake a PFRA update and are also beginning to review our flood and coastal erosion risk management strategy which will involve reviewing all flood risk in Sefton. Our Local |
| | | Communicating the risk | Consolidation of risk information for communication | | coastal processes report has also been published that reviews coastal erosion risk in Sefton. The main update in Risk is between Hall road and the alt Training bank. Following the storms in 2013 the erosion rates are being reviewed as a significant amount of land was eroded leading to concerns to the stability of MEPAs rising main. This has therefore triggered the need to bring forward ideas to manage the erosion in this area. The alt training bank has also breached causing concerns that this may increase the erosion between the training bank the coast guard station. |
| | | Develop and maintain: | Satisfy legislative requirements for a Local Flood Risk Strategy and Flood Risk Management Plans. | | Sefton's Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Strategy is due to be updated in 2018. We are commencing work this autumn to review our understanding and update the strategy. |
| | | Local Flood Risk Strategy | Develop, maintain and review other plans as necessary and take forward actions recommended in them on a prioritised basis | | revew our unitersumming and update the strategy. |
| | Develop plans that set out and prioritise our actions based on our understanding of risk | Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment | | Plans in place, acted on and reviewed to an agreed programme | The policies and risk assessments in the Shoreline Management Plan are annually reviewed against the monitoring data. The only change recommended is that the risk assessment of the section of coastline from Hall Road the Alt Training Bank be changed to high following losses in the winter storms of 2012/2014. We are reviewing our SMP policies for the coast as the SMP has been in place for 10 years. |
| Understanding risk to our communities | | Flood Risk Management Plan | | | There have been no further updates to the Surface Water Flood Maps following their last revision in 2013. The coastal change study is being incorporated into a coastal |
| | | Shoreline Management Plan Surface Water Management Plan | | | adaptation study which is currently being developed. The Catchment Plans have been developed by the Environment Agency with input from Sefton Council, this is consistent with |
| | | Coastal Change Study Catchment Plans (Flood Risk Management Plans) | | | The PFRA has been updated. |
| | Inform the development of plans where flood and coastal erosion risk is a factor | • Local Plan | Input fully to plans to maximise opportunities and minimise adverse impacts in relation to flood and coastal erosion risk management | Timely and quality response including leedback from the customer team. | We supported planning colleagues through the local plan enquiry and it has now been adopted. A number of major development sites have been identified and approved. Our role as Lead Local Flood Authority, under the flood and water management act 2010 is to ensure flood risk is appropriately managed. There have been no updates to the SFRA. We have contributed to the development of the Sefton Coast Plan. We have been merged with Coast and Countryside and parks and green spaces to form Green infrastructure. We have been looking at ways to link together and provide a more effective |
| | | Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Green Space Habital Management Coastal Management | | | and efficient service with a view to developing a service plan for the new service. |

.

| | Inspect and record our assets and where necessary 3rd party assets | Develop and maintain a database containing information about assets important to flood risk management | A database containing information on known assets | be the performance measures with a summary of condition provided as | A database of critical assets has been established and is being reviewed to put into a new system. A programme of cataloguing historic data is also undervay. The inspection programme is under development and an inspection methodology is being applied. The programme will be refined based on the outcomes |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | Develop and implement a risk based inspection programme for the assets identify and designate assets which are in third party ownership and although not their primary function are important for flood risk management. | | context | of the initial inspections. Currently there no 3rd party assets designated as flood defences but this is constantly under review. |
| | | Develop and maintain evidence relating to flood risk to inform the Local Pfan, in the format of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA). The most recent update of the SFRA has been completed in 2013 | Assess development applications as required. | These activities are all responding to requests whether they are from planning, an applicant for consenting of works or arising from a request to investigate a flooding issue. As such we need to record the volume of requests (number and scale), the quality and timeliness of our response and the immediate outcome we have achieved. | Duties as a Lead local Flood Authority are being undertaken. |
| | Work via the planning process | Discharge duties required of a Lead Local Flood Authority such as a statutory consultee for assessing flood risk implications from developments, through the planning process. Develop and maintain local guidance for Flood Risk Assessments (FRA) which sets out what we will expect in such assessments and how we will consider them over and above what is set out in National Planning Guidance | Production and application of local FRA guidance | Measures will be: | There have been 63 major applications and 424 minor applications between October 2016 and September 2017. The cost to the service in 2017/2018 of dealing with the major applications is currently £8556.87. The Local Flood Risk Assessment guidance is currently being drafted with planning and will be accessible on the web. |
| | | Advise the Local Planning Authority on planning applications in relation to flood risk | | Scale Response time achieved | |
| Avoiding increase of risk to our communities | | Establish consenting procedures and raise awareness of need for consents | Put in place procedures for consenting works to ordinary watercourses and raise awareness of the need to seek consent for such works. | Quality of responses acceptable | Our processes are being reviewed and updated. |
| | Administer powers in relation to consenting for ordinary watercourses, coast protection act and bylaws | Review and enact bylaws | Put in place procedures for consenting works controlled under the Coast Protection Act and raise awareness of the need to seek consent for such works (in 2015) | Impact | A draft procedure is in development for Coast Protection Act but is not a priority task |
| | | Review procedures and enact recommendations relating to the Coast Protection Act | Review bylaws that it would be beneficial to enact and commence the process of enactment (by 2016). | | Byelaws are still being reviewed and a recommendation report is being reviewed following the adoption of the policies. |
| | | Communicate riparian duties Identify issues or receive in complaints | | | We have sent letters to all Riparian owners in Maghull, Thornton and Formby and have had public meetings with them to help them understand their roles and responsibilities. We have also produced more detailed guidance and advice which is available on the floodready, co. uk website. We record all reports of maintenance issues and prioritise a response to them or follow up accordingly, often through the |
| | Advising 3rd parties of their maintenance responsibilities and where necessary intervene | relating to lack of maintenance • Identify and enter into negotiations with riparian owners | Clear procedures for dealing with riparian issues (in 2015) | | Making space for water group. We have spoken to a number of riparian owners and are supporting them with their role. There are no current enforcement actions. Through negotiation a riparian owner in Thornton has renewed his culverted watercourse that was derelict and not functioning. In 2017/18 we have currently written to 26 residents reminding them of their duties. |
| | | Where negotiations fail commence enforcement proceedings Where necessary undertake works ourselves | | | written to 20 residents reminding them of their duties. |

| | | Identify catchments and associated critical infrastructure | Review and re-tender works contracts (by 2016) | 4.3 | New drainage contract let to Kings Construction on the 1st of October. We are currently providing them with a schedule of works. We are closely monitoring performance due to a number of issues at the end of last year. |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Reducing risk to our communities | Develop and implement a prioritised maintenance programme | Develop and implement an asset management plan which will include a prioritised maintenance plan based on the number of properties at risk and the vulnerability of the resident | Develop and start a programme of work to identify critical infrastructure in each drainage area Commence development of an asset management plan (in 2015) | As planned works we will develop programmes and budgets, we will review progress against these and have a project sponsor / project manager arrangement in place to monitor quality. | A borough wide review of critical infrastructure has been undertaken and a number of assets identified. This is being reviewed as we look into detail into each catchment area. We are currently inspecting unknown assets and further investigating historical maps to help us understand the assets and how it ties into the drainage system. |
| | Develop a programme of improvement works | Identify capital maintenance and improvement works Develop a forward plan and bid for funding to undertake the work | Development of a justified forward plan listing proposed works (in 2015) Submission of forward plan for grant aid (indicative stage only) | We need to consider how to measure | A programme of works has been identified on the Medium Tern Plan for the next 6 years, this is reviewed annually in March. This is detailed in the investment plan. We have renewed 200m of drainage assets on the Coastal Road, Ainsdale. More lengths will be renewed as and when funding becomes available. A culverted watercourse was also opened up in Formby to increase capacity and improve environmental benefits. In the area. |
| | | Implement works when funds become available | Implementation of works granted funding | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | area. Three projects are currently underway that are supported by grant. Seaforth will not be taken in 2016/17 as originally hoped due to capacity issues. 2017 we still haven't progressed and will be reviewing options for delivery. |
| | Undertake reactive maintenance | Respond to reactive maintenance needs on a prioritised basis | | Time of response and quality of response will be key indicators with £ spent providing context | Works are undertaken as and when necessary on a prioritised basis. |
| | | Share our understanding of flood and coastal erosion risk | Development of a communications strategy (by the end of 2015) | | A communications strategy has been developed for the Formby and Maghull Study and will be expanded to other areas. We also intend on producing a general communications strategy for the new Green infrastructure service we sit within to ensure we know of each others key messages and are in a position to deliver each others messages when the opportunity arises. |
| Reducing consequences to our | Work in partnership with our communities to increase their resilience | Discuss with communities options for increasing their resilience | Development of basic communication materials | Performance measures will be developed as part of the communications plan. | Communications material is available predominately through the floodready website. Copies of the leaflet are available in council buildings. The flood ready website has been updated with more information about Riparian ownership and ways to save water. We are currently in discussions with the Environment Agency who are looking to create a bigger website called flood Hub and the flood ready website will sit within this as the educational arm of the website. It will require updating to reflect the purely educational element of flood hub to which we have asked for funding to do this. |
| communities | | Provide advice and support on what to do before during and after a flood | Commence implementation of communication strategy | | have asked for funding to do this. There have been over 24000 sessions to the flood ready website, who spend on average 1.08 hours on the site reviewing the supporting material and case studies. 11.2% are returning and 88.8% are new visitors. |
| | Develop and implement plans for Council actions in the event of flooding occurring | Emergency Plan Resilience Plan | Review the emergency and resilience plans for flooding (annual) | We have to have plans in place and be conflident that they will work. The performance measure will be that annual training, testing and review of the plans has been undertaken to a pre-agreed programme. | We are working with the Council Risk and Resilience Officer to ensure our plans align with the Merseyside Plans and are checked and tested. We have been working with a number of residents across the borough and emergency planning to administer a £5k grant to those residents who flooded internally after storms Desmond and Eva. Most properties have had property Level protection measures installed and payment has been made to the residents. |

CAPITAL PROGRAMME

| | Or and the second of the secon | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| felt | provide an early warning system for residents as the group felt | | | 9 | | |
| 6 | local university to install a groundwater monitoring system, to | | | +: | | |
| - | thr group have now set up a website and have worked with a | 1 | | | 100 | |
| | achieving the community priorities. | | | | | |
| ards | source a wider range of funding opportunities to work towards | | made. | | | |
| it to | establishing itself as a constituted group, which will enable it to | Vision for resilient places and people. | within Formby. Appropriate funding applications | LE SHIETT. | | |
| | The Formby Flood Group is currently working towards | to deliver this project. Outcomes align with 2030 | Scheme delivered to reduce risk to hot spots | with community, support them to become into a | | |
| | take actions forward. | Partnership working is embedded from the start | _ | tot reducing nood tax at commy as agreement | rottingy ridded hisk out accepy | VEGUCES LIPK TO OUR COMMUNICATION |
| ba | should be set up to represent the wider community views and | funding sources to support wider delivery. | improvement in their resilience. Community | community and affice provinces person opinion | | |
| group | these initial meeting the community agreed that a smaller group | memorandum. Seeking and securing alternative | _ | nervew mood man at runney, tagege with | | |
| á | identify key priorities the community wanted pursuing. From | Efficiencies realised as required as part of grant | agree preferences with community, increased | Bandon Sand of the Cornels Conses with | | |
| · • | were held to share understanding of the risk in Formby and | | Effective community liaison. Options report and | | | |
| unity | Agency. A number of initial meetings with the wider community | | | 5. | | |
| | supported by grant in aid secured from the Environment | <i>j</i> | | | 34 | |
| ydan | improve the health and wellbeing of the community of Formby | | | | | |
| and | community flood group to try to jointly manage flood risk and | | | , | | |
| | We have worked with Formby Parish Council to establish a | | | | | 51.00 |
| | places. | to deliver this project. | | | | |
| nd | deliver the Setton Council 2030 vision for resilient people and | Partnership working is embedded from the start | | | | |
| - do | to ward the delivery of schemes on the ground. This will help | cultures courses to support water desires y. | | | | |
| - | formed the delivery of the property of the region to the | the district of the same of th | 9 | | | |
| ¥ | oneoing with a NEM working group for the region to drive | mornorandum Seeking and securing alternative | | | | ** |
| | agreement or way of working to facilitate this work will be | Efficiencies realised as required as part of grant | | | | |
| 9 | authority may only benefit those in another, so some form of | | | 2 | | |
| ri | work together as a region in delivering NFM as works in one | | | | | 2.9 |
| www. | MEMI across Merseyside to get the beggest benefits and now we | | | | | |
| | and the second and an expension about mixed over to under the | | suitable. Some onsite scheme delivery | risk management across Merseyside | Matural Flood KISK Management | Reducing risk to our communities |
| **** | inform darkings and discussions about where heat to unde | th | Modelled plan of areas where NFRM might be | Assessment of opportunities for natural flood | | |
| | received the output modelling in GIS format. This will now | | | | | |
| | authorities across Merseyside in March and we have now | Z | | (8) | | |
| 300 | work. We have had a workshop with Flood risk management | | | | | |
| | Company of security of page of the security of | | | | | |
| bis | computer modelling systems in place to efficiently deliver this | | | 0.00 | | |
| he | recently carried out similar projects in Lancashire and has the | | | | | |
| 185 | the nealthy rayers trust to delivery this project. The trust has | | | | | |
| THE PERSON | where the project senton has entered into parties sing | | | | | |
| the state of | undertake this project. Sefton has entered into partnership with | | | | 15 | |
| 1 | CON fresh by box by the fresh | to denver this project. | の 日本の とうこう とうかん とうなる こうしょうしゅう | | 一年 のでは のではのできるととなるとなるのであること | 日本の大学を一大川とからとしていることのなりません |
| | | | | Constitution Constitution of | | |
| | | Partnership working is embedded from the start | | aroundwater flooding | | |
| 15. | to record data. Sefton's dipwells have been in situ since 2015. | funding sources to support wider delivery. | | Develop programme of schemes to reduce risk of | Merseyside Groundwater study | sderstanding Risk |
| tinue | from Merseyside partners to install wells. Sefton wells continue | memorandum. Seeking and securing alternative | Merseyside network of monitoring wells. | Install wells across Merseyside. Monitor data. | | |
| tent | Limited progress made due to difficulties obtaining agreement | Efficiencies realised as required as part of grant | | | | |
| | | | | | THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T | |
| | community to assess options. | | | | | |
| | consultation with partners and engagement with the | | | | 日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日 | |
| 14046 | peer district the was strong the district place which was strong | | | | | |
| - Contract | been analyses. This will inform the third phase which will in | | | | | |
| ** | piped/culverted network is now complete and reports have | | | | | |
| - | surveys. The second phase involving CCTV surveys of the | to deliver this project. | | | | |
| 15 | targety complete which reviewed restoric maps and previous | Partnership working is embedded from the start | number of schemes delivered. | Identification | | |
| _ | reduce mood risk. The unitial desktop phase of the studies is | runaing sources to support wider delivery. | Appropriate funding applications made. A | further investigations, stage a scheme | The Pool and Nite Watercourse investigations | inderstanding Nysk |
| - | and of the little desired the open management and | Action Section | Programme of improvement works developed. | real and a silent franch property or the same | | |
| 1 | the notes connectinities to restore the open watercourse | Control of the Contro | Desk study completed, inspections completed. | The state of the s | | |
| di | helping to identify where further work is required to maintain | Efficiencies realised as required as part of grant | | | | |
| · indian | and disconnections made to them The investigation work is | | | | | |
| | pined/charted may time and have had property seems to be | | | | | |
| **** | Southoort and Birkdale. These watercourses have largely been | | | | | |
| erve | investigations and studies into the two watercourses that serve | | | | THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE | |
| rtake | Grant in aid secured from the Environment Agency to undertake | | | | The state of the s | |
| L | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | wider in the region. | | | | | |
| e and | equipment to support the delivery of its survey programme and | | | | | |
| Vavan | been awarded. Selton Council is currently procuring new survey | | | | | |
| Y | copographic surveys and nyurodynamic surveys have already | | | | | |
| | come contract to the property of | | | | | |
| 7 | being tendered for to cover the five year programme Beach | to support wider delivery. | | management Local data collection and analysis. | | |
| S Of | Several contracts for regional survey work are in the process of | | Evidence base that supports the Shoreline | Regional contract establishment and | Regional Monitoring programme | Inderstanding Risk |
| | | _ | | | | |
| | to the FCERM team and wider Council | 大学 を対しているのではないから | | | | |
| ork | monitoring programme is also available for inland survey work | | | | 11日の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本 | |
| | survey programmes. The skills and equipment used for the | | | | | |
| their | worked with colleagues across the North West to support their | | | | | |
| | undertake the coastal surveys for the section area and have | | | | | |
| | and at the county of the county of the Coffee area and but | | | | | |
| | additional benefits and skills into the council. The team | | | | | |
| bring | The programme employs 3 full time equivalents posts that bring | 一日 一日 一日 一日 一日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 | | | | |

| | | | | | We have worked with Maghull Town Council to establish a community flood group in area supported by grant in aid secured from the Environment Agency. An advest war placed in |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Reducing risk to our communities. | Maghull and Fouracres flood risk management. plan | Review flood risk in Maghull. Engage with community and agree priorities. Develop options for reducing flood risk in Maghull in agreement with community, Support them to become more resilient. | Effective community laison. Options report and agree preferences with community. Increased representation within the community and an improvement in their resilience. Scheme delivered to reduce flood risk to Fouracres. Community, task, and roises are Community, task, and roises are reponsibilities. Appropriate funding applications | fifticiencies realised as required as part of grant memorandum. Seeking and securing alternative funding sources to support what delivery. Patnership working is embedded from the start to oblewe the project. Outcomes align with 2009 Vision for resilient places and people. | The local newpoint where the residue is the plant plant of the local newpoint in the same and a number of public regignment sessions have been un; Indian sources to support where the properties of the local new new and the local new part of the local new |
| | | 8 | made. | | A public event discussing community resilience and how to prepare for flooding was undertaken in conjunction with United Utilities, the Environment Agency and the flood committee. Utilities, the Environment Agency and the flood committee. Incommittee has now discharded due to conflicting priorities and work will continue with those residents in Fouracres that has suffered internal flooding to take forward an option or this fails a management plan will be produced. |
| Reducing risk to our communities | Crosby to Formby Point coastal protection Strategy | Look at long term sustainable solutions for Crosby To Formby Point | funded delivery programme? | | Primary focus is on the section from the Alt rating bank to the spending the order of the coastline. Secured funding from The fundroment Agency to develop the delivery forgarmen and have begun understaking suvery and design to support the works. Discussion have been understaken with United Utilities to secure contribution; and discussion are business case for the deferre works. This programme is being coordinated with the croby masterplan. |